



# MIT ADT UNIVERSITY, PUNE

### **School of Education and Research**

# **IQAC**

Report on Structured feedback

From Stakeholders

**Academic Year** 

2023-2024



School of Education & Research
MIT Art, Design & Technology University,
Loni Kalbhor, Pune - 412 201.

#### Declaration

This is to state that all the Feedback Forms collected from all the stakeholders (a) Students, (b) Teachers, (c) Employers and (d) Alumni, for the 'Academic Year' 2023-24, are preserved in the School for record purpose and will be made available to IQAC at any time, when DVV Partner demands to produce it randomly. The report is prepared based on the Feedback Forms collected from the stakeholders.

IQAC Co-Ordinator

School of Education and Research



### Index

| Sr. No. | Content  | Pg. No.    |
|---------|--|------------|
| 1       | Filled –in feedback Forms:   | 4 - 54     |
|         | a) Filled in feedback forms of three students (i) Top Ranker, (ii) Slow Learner (iii) Student from Category, as a representative sample      | 4 - 17     |
|         | b) Filled –in feedback Forms of three Teachers (i) Professor, (ii) Associate Professor (iii) Assistant Professor, as a representative sample | 18 - 29    |
|         | c) Filled –in feedback Forms of three Employers (i) Industry, (ii) Research Organization (iii) Govt. Sector or NGO                           | 30 - 38    |
|         | d) Filled –in feedback Forms of three Alumni (i) Top Ranker, (ii) Female Student (iii) Student from Category, as a representative sample     | 39 - 51    |
| 2       | Analysis of Feedback with Graphical Representation   | 52 - 104   |
| 3       | Comparison of Feedback of different Stakeholders   | 106        |
| 4       | Pertinent pointers identified & drawn to enhance the learning effectiveness  | 106        |
| 5       | Basis of Planning the Revision or Updating the Syllabus based on the Feedback  | 107        |
| 6       | Action Taken and Improvement or Enrichment in the Curriculum   | 107        |
| 7       | Communication letters of 'Action Taken', as representative samples   | 108        |
| 8       | Screenshots of the Feedback Report displayed on website  | 109        |
| 9       | Conclusion   | 110        |
| 10      | MoM of BoS   | Annexure I |

# Filled in feedback forms

### Index

| Sr. No. | Content  | Pg. No.    |
|---------|--|------------|
| 1       | Filled –in feedback Forms:   | 4 - 54     |
|         | a) Filled in feedback forms of three students (i) Top Ranker, (ii) Slow<br>Learner (iii) Student from Category, as a representative sample   | 4 - 17     |
|         | b) Filled –in feedback Forms of three Teachers (i) Professor, (ii) Associate Professor (iii) Assistant Professor, as a representative sample | 18 - 29    |
|         | c) Filled –in feedback Forms of three Employers (i) Industry, (ii) Research Organization (iii) Govt. Sector or NGO                           | 30 - 38    |
|         | d) Filled –in feedback Forms of three Alumni (i) Top Ranker, (ii) Female Student (iii) Student from Category, as a representative sample     | 39 - 51    |
| 2       | Analysis of Feedback with Graphical Representation   | 52 - 104   |
| 3       | Comparison of Feedback of different Stakeholders   | 106        |
| 4       | Pertinent pointers identified & drawn to enhance the learning effectiveness  | 106        |
| 5       | Basis of Planning the Revision or Updating the Syllabus based on the Feedback  | 107        |
| 6       | Action Taken and Improvement or Enrichment in the Curriculum   | 107        |
| 7       | Communication letters of 'Action Taken', as representative samples   | 108        |
| 8       | Screenshots of the Feedback Report displayed on website  | 109        |
| 9       | Conclusion   | 110        |
| 10      | MoM of BoS   | Annexure I |

# Filled in feedback forms

4/24/24, 12:09 PM

Curriculum Feedback - Students (2023-2024)

| 2 1121, 12.007 11  |                   |                  |            |                 |              |                 |
|--|-------------------|------------------|------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Rate the curricul  | um in terms of e  | mployability s   | kills *    |                 |              |                 |
|  | 1                 | 2                | 3          | 4               | 5            |                 |
| Excellent  | •                 | $\bigcirc$       | $\circ$    | . ()            | $\circ$      | Poor            |
|  |                   |                  |            |                 |              |                 |
| Rate the curricul  | um in terms of s  | kills required i | n the edu  | cation sector * |              |                 |
|  | 1                 | 2                | 3          | 4               | 5            |                 |
| Excellent  | (1)               | $\circ$          | $\bigcirc$ | 0               | $\bigcirc$   | Poor            |
| *  |                   |                  |            |                 |              |                 |
| Rate the curricul  | um in terms of 10 | CT skills *      |            |                 |              |                 |
|  | 1                 | 2                | 3          | 4               | 5            |                 |
| Excellent  | (1)               | $\circ$          | $\circ$    | $\circ$         | $\circ$      | Poor            |
|  |                   |                  |            |                 |              |                 |
| Do you think that  | the curriculum l  | nas sufficient   | compone    | nt based on re  | search? Elab | oorate. *       |
| Ideally, curriculums<br>maximize learning<br>vary greatly. |                   |                  |            |                 |              |                 |
|  |                   |                  |            |                 |              |                 |
| Does the curriculor ? Elaborate.                           | um generate suf   | ficient interes  | t to pursu | ue higher study | in the subje | ect concerned * |
| A well-designed cur<br>encouraging explor                  |                   |                  |            |                 |              | ations and 2)   |

What new 'components' should be included in the curriculum for bridging the gap between academia and industry? [Industry refers to fields of educational sector]

Curriculums for the education sector could bridge the gap by incorporating real-world projects and guest lectures from industry professionals.

Any other suggestions that you would like to give for enriching the curriculum? \*

Yes, consider incorporating project-based learning that tackles local or global challenges, fostering critical thinking and community engagement.

This form was created inside of MIT University.

Google Forms

# Curriculum Feedback - Students [2023-2024]

School of Education and Research, MIT Art, Design and Technology University, Pune
The purpose of this feedback is to bring about a revision and/or restructuring of the curriculum. Your
valuable inputs will help us in designing an enriched curriculum that meets the needs and requirements of
the industry.

| Email *  |
|--|
| haidarali8002125@gmail.com                           |
|  |
| Your Name *  |
| Md Haidar  |
|  |
| Contact number *                                     |
| 8789489259   |
|  |
| Which course are you pursuing from the University? * |
| Bachelor of Education                                |
| Master of Arts in Education                          |
| Octor of Philosophy                                  |
| MA/M.Sc in E-learning                                |
| Post Graduate Diploma in Guidance and Counselling    |
|  |

https://docs.google.com/forms/d/1.jiYj-UWoENr2RVvMUQPPSYzeLSRSUS6VtZyBd4PCHzY/edit#response=ACYDBNj1zoJJtxEUF83Xp4BljXmYqiA1t... 1/5

Very Good

Good

O Fair

O Poor

| 4/24/24. | 12:10 | PM   |
|----------|-------|------|
| 7129.29. | 12.10 | F IV |

| 24.24. 12:10 PM                           |                 | C             | uriculum Feedback | - Students (2023) | 2024]         |              |   |
|---|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------|---|
| Rate the depth of the                     |                 | offered in te | erms of the co    | ompetencies       | expected by   | the industry | * |
| Excellent                                 |                 |               |                   |                   |               |              |   |
| Very Good                                 |                 |               |                   |                   |               |              |   |
| Good                                      | 1               |               |                   |                   |               |              |   |
| ○ Fair                                    |                 |               |                   |                   |               |              |   |
| O Poor                                    |                 |               |                   |                   |               |              |   |
|   |                 |               |                   |                   |               |              |   |
|   |                 |               |                   |                   |               |              |   |
| What is the standar local, national and g |                 |               | t ?Does the o     | curriculum ta     | ke into consi | deration the | * |
| Yes                                       |                 |               |                   |                   |               |              |   |
|   |                 |               |                   |                   |               |              |   |
| Rate the standard of                      | of the curricul | um taught. *  |                   |                   |               |              |   |
|   | 1               | 2             | 3                 | 4                 | 5             |              |   |
| Excellent                                 | •               | 0             | $\circ$           | $\circ$           | $\circ$       | Poor         |   |
|   |                 |               |                   |                   |               |              |   |
| Does the curriculum                       | focus on en     | nployability/ | entrepreneur      | ship/ skill de    | velopment?    | How? *       |   |
| Experience                                |                 |               |                   | 22                |               |              |   |
|   |                 |               |                   |                   |               |              |   |

|   |                 | Curr                                      | iculum Feedba | ack - Students [2023-2                      | 024]                           |                           |
|---|-----------------|---|---------------|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Rate the curriculum   | in terms of e   | employability s                           | skills *      |   |                                |                           |
|   | 1               | 2   | 3             | 4   | 5                              |                           |
| Excellent   | 0               | $\bigcirc$                                | (1)           | 0   | $\bigcirc$                     | Poor                      |
|   |                 |   |               |   | . 4                            |                           |
| Rate the curriculum   | n in terms of s | kills required                            | in the edu    | ucation sector *                            |                                |                           |
|   | 1               | 2   | 3             | 4   | 5                              |                           |
| Excellent   | $\circ$         | $\circ$                                   | (3)           | 0   |                                | Poor                      |
|   |                 |   |               |   |                                |                           |
| Rate the curriculum   | in terms of le  | CT skills *                               |               |   |                                |                           |
|   | 1               | 2   | 3             | 4   | 5                              |                           |
| Excellent   | •               | 0   | $\circ$       |   | $\circ$                        | Poor                      |
|   |                 |   |               |   |                                |                           |
|   | o ourrioulum    | has sufficient                            | compone       | ent based on re                             | search? Elat                   | oorate. *                 |
| Do you think that th  | ie curriculum   |   |               |   |                                |                           |
| Do you think that th<br>Outstanding   | ie cumculum     |   | 1 10 1011     |   |                                |                           |
|   | le curriculum   |   |               |   |                                |                           |
| Outstanding  Does the curriculum  |                 | ufficient intere                          | st to purs    | ue higher study                             | in the subje                   | ct concerned <sup>1</sup> |
| Outstanding   |                 | ufficient intere                          | st to purs    | ue higher study                             | in the subje                   | ct concerned <sup>1</sup> |
| Outstanding  Does the curriculum  ? Elaborate.  Good  |                 |   |               |   |                                | ct concerned <sup>4</sup> |
| Outstanding  Does the curriculum? Elaborate.  Good  | n generate su   | Cumi                                      | culum Feedba  | ck - Studenta [2023-20]                     | 24]                            |                           |
| Outstanding  Does the curriculum  ? Elaborate.  Good  | n generate su   | Cum<br>pe included in                     | culum Foodba  | ck - Students [2023-20]<br>ulum for bridgin | <sup>24]</sup><br>g the gap be |                           |
| Outstanding  Does the curriculum? Elaborate.  Good  24. 12.10 PM  What new 'compone                               | n generate su   | Cum<br>pe included in                     | culum Foodba  | ck - Students [2023-20]<br>ulum for bridgin | <sup>24]</sup><br>g the gap be |                           |
| Outstanding  Does the curriculum? Elaborate.  Good  4. 12:10 PM  What new 'componence academia and industrations. | n generate su   | Cum<br>be included in<br>y refers to fiel | culum Foodba  | ck - Students [2023-20]<br>ulum for bridgin | <sup>24]</sup><br>g the gap be |                           |

This form was created inside of MIT University.

Google Forms

### Curriculum Feedback - Students [2023-2024]

School of Education and Research, MIT Art, Design and Technology University, Pune
The purpose of this feedback is to bring about a revision and/or restructuring of the curriculum. Your valuable inputs will help us in designing an enriched curriculum that meets the needs and requirements of the industry.

| Email *  |  |
|--|--|
| durgakanan@gmail.com                                 |  |
|  |  |
| Your Name *  |  |
| Kanan sahoo  |  |
|  |  |
| Contact number *                                     |  |
| 7448099730   |  |
|  |  |
| Which course are you pursuing from the University? * |  |
| Bachelor of Education                                |  |
| Master of Arts in Education                          |  |
| O Doctor of Philosophy                               |  |
| MA/M.Sc in E-learning                                |  |
| O Post Graduate Diploma in Guidance and Counselling  |  |
|  |  |

| 24/24. 12:21 PM                             | Curriculum Feedback - Students [2023-2024] |     |
|---|--|-----|
| Year/semester your are studying in. *       |  |     |
| O = 11 = 11                                 |  |     |
| F.Y. B.Ed                                   |  |     |
| S.Y. B.Ed.                                  |  |     |
| ○ Sem I                                     |  |     |
| ○ Sem II                                    |  | 4   |
| ○ Sem III                                   |  |     |
| Sem IV                                      |  |     |
|   |  |     |
|   |  |     |
| Rate the structure of the curriculum design | ned for the entire program. *              |     |
| Excellent                                   |  |     |
| Very Good                                   |  |     |
| Good  |  |     |
| ○ Fair                                      |  |     |
| Poor  | ×  | 747 |
|   |  |     |

| Rate the depth of the                     |                    | ffered in teri | ms of the co | mpetencies     | expected by    | the industry * |
|---|--------------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Excellent                                 |                    |                |              |                |                |                |
| O Very Good                               |                    |                |              |                |                |                |
| Good                                      |                    |                |              |                |                |                |
| O Fair                                    |                    |                |              |                |                |                |
| Poor                                      |                    |                |              |                |                |                |
|   |                    |                |              |                |                |                |
| What is the standar local, national and o |                    | ulum taught    | ?Does the o  | urriculum ta   | ke into consid | deration the * |
| Yes                                       |                    |                |              |                |                | 1200 F         |
|   |                    |                |              |                |                |                |
| Rate the standard of                      | of the curriculur  | m taught.*     |              |                |                |                |
|   | 1                  | 2              | 3            | 4              | 5              |                |
| Excellent                                 | (10)               | 0              | $\circ$      | $\circ$        | 0              | Poor           |
|   |                    |                |              |                |                |                |
| Does the curriculum                       | n focus on emp     | oloyability/ e | ntrepreneur  | ship/ skill de | velopment?     | How? *         |
| Yes, it is helpful in app                 | plying in reality. |                |              | _              |                |                |

4/24/24, 12:21 PM

Curriculum Feedback - Students [2023-2024]

| Rate the curriculum in terms of employability skills *   |                  |                  |            |                |              |           |  |  |  |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------|----------------|--------------|-----------|--|--|--|
|  | 1 4              | 2                | 3          | 4              | 5            |           |  |  |  |
| Excellent  | $\circ$          | •                | $\circ$    | 0              |              | Poor      |  |  |  |
|  |                  |                  |            |                |              |           |  |  |  |
| Rate the curriculun  | n in terms of s  | kills required i | n the educ | ation sector * |              |           |  |  |  |
|  | 1                | 2                | 3          | 4              | 5            | *         |  |  |  |
| Excellent  | •                | 0                | 0          |                | $\circ$      | Poor      |  |  |  |
|  |                  |                  |            |                |              |           |  |  |  |
| Rate the curriculun  | n in terms of IC | CT skills *      |            |                |              |           |  |  |  |
| 8  | 1                | 2                | 3          | 4              | 5            |           |  |  |  |
| Excellent  | (6)              | $\circ$          | 0          | $\circ$        | $\circ$      | Poor      |  |  |  |
|  |                  |                  |            |                | 110 -        |           |  |  |  |
| Do you think that th   | ne curriculum l  | has sufficient   | componen   | t based on res | search? Elal | oorate. * |  |  |  |
| Yes it has.  |                  |                  |            |                |              |           |  |  |  |
|  |                  |                  |            |                |              | 43        |  |  |  |
| Does the curriculum generate sufficient interest to pursue higher study in the subject concerned *? Elaborate. |                  |                  |            |                |              |           |  |  |  |
| Yes it does.   | Yes it does.     |                  |            |                |              |           |  |  |  |

What new 'components' should be included in the curriculum for bridging the gap between academia and industry? [Industry refers to fields of educational sector]

Case study

Any other suggestions that you would like to give for enriching the curriculum? \*

Longer period of internship and not during the final phase of the session.

This form was created inside of MIT University.

Google Forms

17|Page

b) Filled –in feedback Forms of three Teachers (i) Professor, (ii) Associate Professor (iii) Assistant Professor, as a representative sample

4/24/24, 12:25 PM

Curriculum Feedback - Teachers [2023-2024]

### Curriculum Feedback - Teachers [2023-2024]

School of Education and Research, MIT Art, Design and Technology University, Pune
The purpose of this feedback is to bring about a revision and/or restructuring of the curriculum. Your
valuable inputs will help us in designing an enriched curriculum that meets the needs and requirements of
the industry.

| Email *               |             |       |  |  |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------|--|--|
| priya.singh@mituniver | sity.edu.in |       |  |  |
|                       |             |       |  |  |
| Your Name *           |             |       |  |  |
| Priya Singh           | 1.5         | 7, 41 |  |  |
|                       |             |       |  |  |
| Contact number *      |             |       |  |  |
| 9511683054            |             |       |  |  |

Rate the structure of the curriculum designed for the following program. \*

|                   | 1 - Excellent    | 2 - Very Good      | 3 - Good       | 4 - Fair         | 5 - Poor         |
|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|
| PhD               | 0                | 0                  | <b>O</b>       | 0                | 0                |
| MA in Education   | 0                | 0                  | <b>O</b>       | 0                | 0                |
| B.Ed.             | 0                | •                  | $\circ$        | 0                | 0                |
|                   |                  |                    |                |                  |                  |
| Rate the depth of | the curriculum ( | offered in terms o | f the competen | cies expected by | y the industry * |
| Excellent         |                  |                    |                |                  |                  |
| O Very Good       |                  |                    |                |                  |                  |
| Good              |                  |                    |                |                  |                  |
| ○ Fair            |                  |                    |                |                  |                  |
| Poor              |                  |                    |                |                  |                  |

On a scale of 1-5, how would you rate the following aspects \*

|  | 1 - Excellent   | 2- Very Good       | 3- Good       | 4- Average           | 5- Poor       |
|--|-----------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------|
| Freedom to<br>suggest /<br>propose<br>changes in<br>syllabus   | •               | 0                  | 0             | 0                    | 0             |
| Importance<br>given to course<br>content                       | •               | 0                  | 0             | 0                    | 0             |
| Importance<br>given to new<br>innovations and<br>recent trends | •               | 0                  | 0             | 0                    | 0             |
| Practical aspects  | 0               | •                  | 0             | 0                    | 0             |
|  | 9               |                    |               |                      |               |
| Freedom to innova<br>the curriculum                            | te and adopt ne | ew teaching strate | egies/methods | s is prevalent while | e designing * |
| Strongly disagr  | ee              |                    |               |                      |               |
| Disagree   |                 |                    |               |                      |               |
| O Neutral  |                 |                    |               |                      |               |
| O Agree  |                 |                    |               |                      |               |
| Strongly agree   |                 |                    |               |                      | 1             |

4/24:24, 12:25 PM

Curriculum Feedback - Teachers [2023-2024]

| Extent to which th               | e curriculum foc  | uses on the follo   | owing aspects [   | 1 = Least, 5 = Hi  | ghest] *    |   |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------|---|
|                                  | 31/               | 2                   | 3                 | 4                  | 5           |   |
| Local needs                      | $\circ$           | 0                   | 0                 | •                  | 0           |   |
| National needs                   | 0                 | 0                   |                   | •                  | $\circ$     |   |
| Global needs                     | 0                 | 0                   | •                 | 0                  | 0           |   |
| Research                         | 0                 | 0                   | $\circ$           | $\bigcirc$         | <b>()</b>   |   |
| Employability skills             | 0                 | 0                   | 0                 | 0                  | •           | 4 |
|                                  |                   |                     |                   |                    |             |   |
| What new 'compo                  |                   |                     | curriculum for b  | oridging the gap l | between - * |   |
| Needs to be made on NEP pattern. |                   |                     |                   |                    |             |   |
|                                  |                   |                     |                   |                    |             |   |
| Any other suggest                | tions that you we | ould like to give f | for enriching the | curriculum? *      |             |   |
| Nil                              |                   |                     |                   |                    | 11.00       |   |
|                                  |                   |                     |                   |                    |             |   |

This form was created inside of MIT University.

Google Forms

### Curriculum Feedback - Teachers [2023-2024]

School of Education and Research, MIT Art, Design and Technology University, Pune
The purpose of this feedback is to bring about a revision and/or restructuring of the curriculum. Your
valuable inputs will help us in designing an enriched curriculum that meets the needs and requirements of
the industry.

| Email *              |                  |   | 16 |  |
|----------------------|------------------|---|----|--|
| namrata.kamble@mitur | niversity.edu.in |   |    |  |
|                      |                  |   |    |  |
| Your Name *          | Ŧ                |   |    |  |
| Dr.Namrata Kamble    |                  | + |    |  |
|                      |                  |   |    |  |
| Contact number *     |                  |   |    |  |
| 8605017901           | e seera          |   |    |  |

O Fair

O Poor

Rate the structure of the curriculum designed for the following program. \*

|  | 1 - Excellent | 2 - Very Good | 3 - Good | 4 - Fair | . 5 - Poor |
|--|---------------|---------------|----------|----------|------------|
| PhD  | $\circ$       | <b>(a)</b>    | 0        | 0        | 0          |
| MA in Education  | $\bigcirc$    | •             | $\circ$  | $\circ$  | 0          |
| B.Ed.  | 0             | <b>O</b>      | 0        | $\circ$  | $\circ$    |
|  |               |               |          |          |            |
| Rate the depth of the curriculum offered in terms of the competencies expected by the industry * |               |               |          |          |            |
| Excellent  |               |               |          |          |            |
| Very Good  |               |               |          |          |            |
| Good   |               |               |          |          |            |

4:24:24, 12:26 PM

O Neutral

O Agree

Strongly agree

Curriculum Feedback - Teachers [2023-2024]

| On a scale of 1-5,  | how would you | rate the following | aspects * |            |         |
|---|---------------|--------------------|-----------|------------|---------|
|   | 1 - Excellent | 2- Very Good       | 3- Good   | 4- Average | 5- Poor |
| Freedom to<br>suggest /<br>propose<br>changes in<br>syllabus  | 0             | •                  | 0         | 0          | 0       |
| Importance<br>given to course<br>content  |               | •                  | 0         | 0          | 0       |
| Importance<br>given to new<br>innovations and<br>recent trends  | 0             | •                  | 0         | 0          |         |
| Practical aspects   | 0             | <b>(a)</b>         | 0         | 0          | 0       |
| Freedom to innovate and adopt new teaching strategies/methods is prevalent while designing * the curriculum |               |                    |           |            |         |
| Strongly disagroup Disagree   | ree           |                    |           | ¥.         |         |

4/24/24, 12:26 PM

Curriculum Feedback - Teachers [2023-2024]

| Extent to which the curriculum focuses on the following aspects [1 = Least, 5 = Highest] *                                   |            |   |         |          |         |
|--|------------|---|---------|----------|---------|
|  | 1          | 2 | 3       | 4        | 5       |
| Local needs  | $\circ$    | 0 | 0       | •        | $\circ$ |
| National needs   | 0          | 0 | $\circ$ | •        | $\circ$ |
| Global needs   | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0       | •        | $\circ$ |
| Research   | $\circ$    | 0 | $\circ$ | <b>•</b> | $\circ$ |
| Employability<br>skills  | 0          | 0 | $\circ$ | •        | 0       |
|  |            |   |         |          |         |
| What new 'components' should be included in the curriculum for bridging the gap between academia and education industry?     |            |   |         |          |         |
| Curriculum should include things which are being actually practiced in the schools, the way they expect their teachers to be |            |   |         |          |         |
|  |            |   |         |          |         |
| Any other suggestions that you would like to give for enriching the curriculum? *  |            |   |         |          |         |
| Nil  |            |   |         |          |         |
|  |            |   |         |          |         |

This form was created inside of MIT University.

Google Forms

### Curriculum Feedback - Teachers [2023-2024]

School of Education and Research, MIT Art, Design and Technology University, Pune
The purpose of this feedback is to bring about a revision and/or restructuring of the curriculum. Your valuable inputs will help us in designing an enriched curriculum that meets the needs and requirements of the industry.

| Email *         |              |         |      |  |
|-----------------|--------------|---------|------|--|
| jovita.nathan@m | ituniversity | .edu.in | <br> |  |
|                 |              |         |      |  |
| Your Name *     |              |         |      |  |
| Jovita Nathan   |              |         |      |  |
|                 |              |         |      |  |
| Contact numbe   | r *          |         |      |  |
| 7972193740      |              |         |      |  |

6/12/24, 4:21 PM

Curriculum Feedback - Teachers [2023-2024]

Rate the structure of the curriculum designed for the following program.\*

|                   | 1 - Excellent  | 2 - Very Good       | 3- Good      | 4 - Fair         | 5 - Poor       |
|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------|------------------|----------------|
| PhD               |                | $\circ$             | $\circ$      | , O              | $\circ$        |
| MA in Education   | •              | $\circ$             | $\circ$      | $\bigcirc$       | $\circ$        |
| B.Ed.             | •              | $\circ$             | 0            | O 4,             | $\bigcirc$     |
|                   |                |                     |              |                  |                |
| Rate the depth of | the curriculum | offered in terms of | the competen | cies expected by | the industry * |
| Excellent         |                |                     |              |                  |                |
| Very Good         |                |                     |              |                  |                |
| Good              |                | <i>*</i>            |              |                  |                |
| ○ Fair            |                |                     |              |                  |                |
| Poor              |                |                     |              |                  |                |

On a scale of 1-5, how would you rate the following aspects \*

|   | 1 - Excellent | 2- Very Good | 3- Good | 4- Average | 5- Poor |  |
|---|---------------|--------------|---------|------------|---------|--|
| Freedom to<br>suggest /<br>propose<br>changes in<br>syllabus  | 0             | <b>©</b>     | 0       | 0          | 0       |  |
| Importance<br>given to course<br>content  | 0             | •            | 0       | 0          | 0       |  |
| Importance<br>given to new<br>innovations and<br>recent trends  | •             | 0            | 0       | 0          | 0       |  |
| Practical aspects   | 0             | <b>©</b>     | 0       | 0          | 0       |  |
|   |               |              |         |            |         |  |
| Freedom to innovate and adopt new teaching strategies/methods is prevalent while designing the curriculum |               |              |         |            |         |  |
| Strongly disagre  | ee            |              | :       |            |         |  |
| O Disagree  |               |              |         | (4)        |         |  |
| O Neutral   |               |              |         |            |         |  |
| Agree   | ii ii         |              |         |            |         |  |
| O Strongly agree  |               | 2            |         |            |         |  |

6/12/24. 4:21 PM

Curriculum Feedback - Teachers [2023-2024]

| Extent to which the curriculum fecuses on the following aspects [1 = Least, 5 = Highest] *                               |         |                  |         |   |          |
|--|---------|------------------|---------|---|----------|
|  | 1       | 2                | 3       | 4 | 5        |
| Local needs  | $\circ$ | 0                | 0       | • | $\circ$  |
| National needs   | $\circ$ | 0                | $\circ$ | 0 |          |
| Global needs   | $\circ$ | 0                | $\circ$ | 0 | <b>©</b> |
| Research   |         |                  | 0       | 0 | •        |
| Employability skills   |         | 0                | 0       | 0 | <b>©</b> |
|  |         | 8<br>2 - Central |         |   |          |
| What new 'components' should be included in the curriculum for bridging the gap between academia and education industry? |         |                  |         |   |          |
| It's good  |         |                  |         |   |          |
|  |         |                  |         |   |          |
| Any other suggestions that you would like to give for enriching the curriculum?*   |         |                  |         |   |          |
| No   |         |                  |         |   |          |

This form was created inside of MIT University.

Google Forms

| c) Filled –in feedback Forms of three Em<br>Govt. Sector or NGO  | ployers (i) Industry, (ii) Research Organization (iii)  |
|--|---|
|  | A.  |
| 4/24/24, 12:40 PM  | Curriculum Feedback - Employer [2023-24]  |
|  |   |
| Curriculum Feedbac   | k - Employer [2023-24]  |
|  | Design and Technology University, Pune<br>ut a revision and/or restructuring of the curriculum. Your<br>nriched curriculum that meets the needs and requirements of |
|  |   |
| Email *  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
| Name of the Institution or Organization *  |   |
| Innovera School  |   |
| The state of the s |   |
| Your position in the institution or organiz  | ation *   |

**Director Principal** 

Rate the student of our institution who joined your organization on the following parameters \*

|                                      | 1 - Excellent | 2 - Very good | 3 - Good   | 4 - Average | 5 - Poor   |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| Communication skills                 | $\circ$       | 0             | •          | 0           | 0          |
| Subject matter expertise             | 0             | •             | O          |             | 0          |
| Up to date<br>practical<br>knowledge | •             | 0             | 0          | 0           | 0          |
| ICT skills                           | 0             | •             | $\bigcirc$ | 0           | $\circ$    |
| Mentoring<br>abilities               | $\bigcirc$    | •             | $\bigcirc$ | 0           | 0          |
| Student<br>engagement<br>skills      | 0             | •             | $\circ$    | O<br>7-7-   | . 0        |
| Multitasking                         | 0             |               | 0          | 0           | 0          |
| Research                             | •             | $\circ$       | $\circ$    | 0           | $\circ$    |
| Interpersonal<br>skills              | •             | 0             | 0          | 0           | 0          |
| Confidence                           | •             | 0             | 0          | 0           | 0          |
| Time<br>management                   | •             |               | 0          | 0           | 0          |
| Creativity                           | 0             | •             | 0          |             | $\bigcirc$ |
| Problem solving abilties             | 0             | •             | 0          | 0           | 0          |
| Team work                            |               | 0             | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$  | 0          |

https://docs.google.com/forms/di1cXZk8:aoCLJrZiZmtRmM3h3nfoT7hw199yyyngDerRMedit#reaponse=ACYDBNiXjQ4glikWJi3Y3mUj\_O2Q8w3UZ5J... 2/3

| 4/24, 12:40 PM                       |                   | Cumcuran            | n reecoack - Employer | [2023-24]         |           |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Overall work proficiency             | 0                 | <b>(a)</b>          | 0                     | 0                 | 0         |
|                                      |                   |                     |                       |                   |           |
|                                      | 9                 |                     |                       |                   |           |
| What new 'compor<br>academia and edu |                   |                     | curriculum for b      | ridging the gap b | oetween * |
| A lot of teachers trai               | ning on EQ , Rest | everything techno   | ology will manage     |                   |           |
|                                      |                   |                     |                       |                   |           |
| Would you like to r                  | ecruit students   | from our univers    | sity in future?*      |                   |           |
| Yes                                  |                   | 38                  |                       |                   |           |
| ○ No                                 |                   |                     |                       |                   |           |
|                                      |                   |                     |                       |                   |           |
|                                      |                   |                     |                       |                   |           |
| Any other suggest                    | ions that you wo  | ould like to give f | for enriching the     | curriculum?*      |           |
| None                                 |                   |                     |                       |                   |           |

This form was created inside of MIT University.

Google Forms

4/24/24, 12:40 PM

Curriculum Feedback - Employer [2023-24]

# Curriculum Feedback - Employer [2023-24]

School of Education and Research, MIT Art, Design and Technology University, Pune
The purpose of this feedback is to bring about a revision and/or restructuring of the curriculum. Your valuable inputs will help us in designing an enriched curriculum that meets the needs and requirements of the industry.

| Email *                   |                |              |  |       |
|---------------------------|----------------|--------------|--|-------|
|                           |                |              |  |       |
|                           |                |              |  |       |
| Name of the Institution   | or Organiza    | tion *       |  |       |
| Angel Group Of Schools    |                |              |  |       |
|                           |                |              |  |       |
| Your position in the inst | itution or org | ganization * |  |       |
| Cluster Principal         |                |              |  | :[4:2 |

Rate the student of our institution who joined your organization on the following parameters \*

3 - Good

4 - Average

2 - Very good

1 - Excellent

| Communication skills  | $\circ$ | •        | 0       | $\circ$    | 0       |  |  |
|---|---------|----------|---------|------------|---------|--|--|
| Subject matter expertise  | $\circ$ | •        | 0       | $\circ$    | $\circ$ |  |  |
| Up to date<br>practical<br>knowledge  | 0       | •        | 0       | 0          |         |  |  |
| ICT skills  | $\circ$ | •        | 0       | 0          | $\circ$ |  |  |
| Mentoring abilities   | 0       | •        |         | 0          | 0       |  |  |
| Student<br>engagement<br>skills   | 0       | <b>.</b> | 0       | $\bigcirc$ | 0       |  |  |
| Multitasking  | 0       | •        | $\circ$ | O          | 0       |  |  |
| Research  | $\circ$ | •        | 0       | 0          | $\circ$ |  |  |
| Interpersonal<br>skills   | $\circ$ | •        | 0       | $\circ$    |         |  |  |
| Confidence  | 0       | •        | 0       | 0          | $\circ$ |  |  |
| Time<br>management  | $\circ$ | •        | 0       | 0          | 0       |  |  |
| Creativity  | $\circ$ | •        | 0       | 0          | $\circ$ |  |  |
| Problem solving abilties  | 0       | •        | 0       | $\bigcirc$ |         |  |  |
| Team work   | $\circ$ | •        | 0       | 0          | 0       |  |  |
| https://docs.google.com/forms/d/1oXZk&aoCLJrZiZmtRmM3h3nfoT7hwJ99yyyngDerRk/edit#response=ACYDBNgkoXLcTAihjTSapoNmqXdHNBya4 |         |          |         |            |         |  |  |

5 - Poor

| /24 | /24. 12:40 PM  |                  | Curriculum Feedback - Employer [2023-24] |                  |               |   |  |  |  |  |
|-----|--|------------------|--|------------------|---------------|---|--|--|--|--|
|     | Overall work<br>proficiency  | 0                | <b>(</b>                                 | 0                | 0             | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |                  |  |                  |               |   |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |                  |  |                  |               |   |  |  |  |  |
|     | What new 'components' should be included in the curriculum for bridging the gap between academia and education industry? |                  |  |                  |               |   |  |  |  |  |
|     | NEP , NCRf SDG goals   |                  |  |                  |               |   |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |                  |  |                  |               |   |  |  |  |  |
|     | Would you like to re-  | cruit students f | rom our universi                         | ty in future? *  |               |   |  |  |  |  |
|     | Yes  |                  |  |                  |               |   |  |  |  |  |
|     | O No   |                  |  |                  |               |   |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |                  |  |                  |               |   |  |  |  |  |
|     | Any other suggestio  | ns that you wo   | ould like to give fo                     | or enriching the | curriculum? * |   |  |  |  |  |
|     | We would like to come  | for campus       |  |                  |               |   |  |  |  |  |

This form was created inside of MIT University.

Google Forms

# Curriculum Feedback - Employer [2023-24]

School of Education and Research, MIT Art, Design and Technology University, Pune
The purpose of this feedback is to bring about a revision and/or restructuring of the curriculum. Your
valuable inputs will help us in designing an enriched curriculum that meets the needs and requirements of
the industry.

| Email "  |  |
|--|--|
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Name of the Institution or Organization *          |  |
| Swami Vivekananda academy                          |  |
|  |  |
| Your position in the institution or organization * |  |
| HOD of science                                     |  |

Rate the student of our institution who joined your organization on the following parameters \*

|                                      | 1 · Excellent | 2 · Very good | 3 - Good   | 4 - Average | 5 - Poor   |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| Communication skills                 | 0             | •             | 0          | $\bigcirc$  | 0          |
| Subject matter expertise             | •             | 0             | $\circ$    | 0           | 0          |
| Up to date<br>practical<br>knowledge | •             | 0             | 0          | 0           | 0          |
| ICT skills                           | •             | 0             | $\circ$    | 0           | 0          |
| Mentoring<br>abilities               | 0             | •             | 0          | 0 "         | 0          |
| Student<br>engagement<br>skills      | •             | 0             | 0          | 0           | 0          |
| Multitasking                         | •             | 0             | $\bigcirc$ | 0           | $\circ$    |
| Research                             | •             | 0             |            |             | 0          |
| Interpersonal<br>skills              |               | 0             | 0          | 0           | 0          |
| Confidence                           | •             | 0             | 0          | 0           | 0          |
| Time<br>management                   | •             | 0             | $\circ$    | 0           | 0          |
| Creativity                           |               | 0             | 0          | 0           | $\circ$    |
| Problem solving abilties             | $\Diamond$    | •             | $\circ$    | 0           | 0          |
| Team work                            |               |               |            |             | $\bigcirc$ |

 $https://docs.google.com/forms/d/1eXZx8iaoCLJrZZZmtRmM3h3nfoT7ftwJ99yyyngDerRivedit#response=ACYDBNjj40x1pkp95EPg-R1H4Tf_dV_V1xw... \\ 2/3$ 

| 24/24, 12:41 PM                    |                  | Curriculum          | Feedback - Employer | [2023-24]         |         |      |
|------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------|------|
| Overall work proficiency           | •                | O 5*                | 0                   | 0                 | 0       |      |
|                                    |                  |                     |                     |                   |         |      |
| What new 'compon academia and educ |                  |                     | curriculum for br   | ridging the gap b | oetween | *    |
| Technology and tradit              | tional methods   |                     | > 1 (man 1 m)       | -                 |         | en 1 |
|                                    |                  |                     |                     |                   | -       |      |
| Would you like to re               | cruit students f | rom our univers     | ity in future?*     |                   |         |      |
| Yes                                |                  |                     |                     |                   |         |      |
| ○ No                               |                  |                     |                     |                   |         |      |
|                                    |                  |                     |                     |                   |         |      |
| Any other suggestic                | ons that you wo  | uld like to give fo | or enriching the    | curriculum?*      |         |      |
| No                                 | ** ***           |                     | 1 - 4 / 1 - 1       | *                 | -       |      |

This form was created inside of MIT University.

Google Forms

d)Filled –in feedback Forms of three Alumni (i) Top Ranker, (ii) Female Student (iii) Student from Category, as a representative sample

## Curriculum Feedback - Alumni [2023-2024]

School of Education and Research, MIT Art, Design and Technology University, Pune
The purpose of this feedback is to bring about a revision and/or restructuring of the curriculum. Your valuable inputs will help us in designing an enriched curriculum that meets the needs and requirements of the industry.

| Email *              |  |
|----------------------|--|
| fdes.allin@gmail.com |  |
|                      |  |
| Your Name *          |  |
| Allin Fernandes      |  |
|                      |  |
| Contact number *     |  |
| 8830414794           |  |
|                      |  |
| Year of Passing *    |  |
| 2023                 |  |

|   | Which course did you pursue from the University? *  |     |
|---|---|-----|
|   | Bachelor of Education   |     |
|   | Master of Arts in Education   |     |
|   | O Doctor of Philosophy  |     |
|   | Post Graduate Diploma in Guidance and Counselling   |     |
|   |   |     |
|   | Rate the structure of the curriculum designed for the entire program. *   |     |
|   | Excellent   |     |
|   | ○ Very good   |     |
|   | ● Good  |     |
|   | ○ Fair  |     |
|   | O Poor  |     |
|   | Date the death of the survivulum offered in terms of the compatent in survey to the indiviture of                   |     |
|   | Rate the depth of the curriculum offered in terms of the competencies expected by the industry * [education sector] |     |
|   | Excellent   |     |
|   | Very Good   |     |
|   | Good  |     |
|   | ○ Fair  |     |
|   | O Poor  |     |
| _ |   | 114 |

4/24/24, 12:28 PM

Curriculum Feedback - Alumni [2023-2024]

Does the curriculum successfully comply with the declared course objectives and the expected \* learning outcomes?

Yes

( ) No

Highlight the major features of the curriculum that you liked the most. \*

Hands on experience

Mention the aspects that you wish to modify in the curriculum. \*

The rubrics for practicals and CA activities should be shared for all the courses and clear instructions should be mentioned about the requirements. It would be better if these rubric factors are objective than subject in nature due to the difference in perspectives of the in charge professors.

| On a scale of 1 to 5, rate the standard of the following | g activities conducted by the department. |
|--|---|
|--|---|

|                                      | 1 - Excellent | 2- Very Good | 3- Good | 4- Average | 5- Poor |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------|------------|---------|
| Training                             | 0             | 0            | •       |            | 0       |
| Exposure to<br>Innovative<br>methods | 0             | 0            | •       | 0          | 0       |
| Internships                          | 0             | $\circ$      | •       | $\bigcirc$ | 0       |
| ICT skills                           | 0             | $\circ$      | 0       | •          | 0       |
| Research<br>facilities               | 0             | 0            | 0       | •          | 0       |
|                                      |               |              |         |            |         |

The curriculum successfully bridges the gap between academia and education industry. Do you \*agree?

- Yes
- O No

Give reasons for your choice for the above question \*

It is in par with the current educational needs.

4/24/24, 12:28 PM

Curriculum Feedback - Alumni [2023-2024]

Was there any component, that you wished to see in the curriculum when you were pursuing the \*course?

A few regular physical classes every semester

Any other suggestions that you would like to give for enriching the curriculum? \*

NA

This form was created inside of MIT University.

Google Forms

43 | Page

## Curriculum Feedback - Alumni [2023-2024]

School of Education and Research, MIT Art, Design and Technology University, Pune
The purpose of this feedback is to bring about a revision and/or restructuring of the curriculum. Your
valuable inputs will help us in designing an enriched curriculum that meets the needs and requirements of
the industry.

| Email *                                |     |
|--|-----|
| selvinapawar96@gmail.com               |     |
|  |     |
| Your Name *                            |     |
| Selvina Pawar                          |     |
| ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** |     |
| Contact number *                       |     |
| 8208011582                             |     |
|  |     |
| Year of Passing *                      | \$. |
| 2023                                   |     |

| 4/24 | /24, 12:31 PM Curriculum Feedback - Alumni [2023-2024]  |
|------|---|
|      | Which course did you pursue from the University? *  |
|      | Bachelor of Education   |
|      | Master of Arts in Education   |
|      | Octor of Philosophy   |
|      | Post Graduate Diploma in Guidance and Counselling   |
|      |   |
|      | Rate the structure of the curriculum designed for the entire program. *   |
|      | Excellent   |
|      | ○ Very good   |
|      | ○ Good <sup>1</sup>   |
|      | ○ Fair  |
|      | O Poor  |
| Ť    |   |
|      | Rate the depth of the curriculum offered in terms of the competencies expected by the industry * [education sector] |
|      | Excellent   |
|      | ○ Very Good   |
|      | Good  |

O Fair

O Poor

| Does the curriculum successfully comply with the declared course objectives and the expected * learning outcomes? |                    |                    |                   |                   |              |  |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|--|
| <ul><li>Yes</li><li>No</li></ul>  |                    |                    |                   | Ł                 |              |  |
|   |                    |                    |                   |                   |              |  |
| Highlight the maj   | or features of the | curriculum that y  | ou liked the m    | ost. *            |              |  |
| The teaching style.   |                    | 2 2 2 2            |                   |                   | 14.3         |  |
|   |                    |                    |                   |                   |              |  |
| Mention the aspe  | cts that you wish  | to modify in the   | curriculum. *     |                   |              |  |
| Nothing.  |                    |                    |                   |                   |              |  |
|   |                    |                    |                   |                   |              |  |
|   |                    |                    |                   |                   |              |  |
|   |                    |                    |                   | onducted by the d |              |  |
|   | 5, rate the stand  |                    |                   |                   |              |  |
|   | 5, rate the stand  | dard of the follow | ing activities co | onducted by the d | epartment. * |  |
| On a scale of 1 to  | 5, rate the stand  | dard of the follow | ing activities co | onducted by the d | epartment. * |  |
| On a scale of 1 to  Training  Exposure to Innovative  | 5, rate the stand  | dard of the follow | ing activities co | onducted by the d | epartment. * |  |
| On a scale of 1 to  Training  Exposure to Innovative methods  | 5, rate the stand  | dard of the follow | ing activities co | onducted by the d | epartment. * |  |

4/24/24, 12:31 PM

Curriculum Feedback - Alumni [2023-2024]

| The curriculum successfully bridges the gap between academia and education industry. Do you * agree?   |
|--|
| Yes  |
| O No   |
|  |
| Give reasons for your choice for the above question *  |
| Due to the amazing teaching methods and the way our professors are                                     |
|  |
| Was there any component, that you wished to see in the curriculum when you were pursuing * the course? |
| No .   |
|  |
| Any other suggestions that you would like to give for enriching the curriculum? *                      |
| No   |
|  |

This form was created inside of MiT University.

Google Forms

#### 4/24/24, 12:30 PM

# Curriculum Feedback - Alumni [2023-2024]

School of Education and Research, MIT Art, Design and Technology University, Pune
The purpose of this feedback is to bring about a revision and/or restructuring of the curriculum. Your
valuable inputs will help us in designing an enriched curriculum that meets the needs and requirements of
the industry.

| Email *                |      |   |     |  |
|------------------------|------|---|-----|--|
| lokrednyaneshwar@gmail | .com | _ |     |  |
|                        |      |   | '   |  |
| Your Name *            |      |   |     |  |
| Dnyaneshwari Prabhakar | Gore |   |     |  |
|                        |      |   |     |  |
| Contact number *       |      |   |     |  |
| 9921160220             |      |   | a a |  |
|                        |      |   |     |  |
| Year of Passing *      |      |   |     |  |
| 2022                   |      |   |     |  |

**48** | Page

| 124 | 24, 12:50 PM  |
|-----|---|
|     | Which course did you pursue from the University? *  |
|     | Bachelor of Education   |
|     | Master of Arts in Education   |
|     | O Doctor of Philosophy  |
|     | O Post Graduate Diploma in Guidance and Counselling   |
|     |   |
|     | Rate the structure of the curriculum designed for the entire program. *   |
|     | Excellent   |
|     | ○ Very good   |
|     | Good  |
|     | ○ Fair  |
|     | O Poor  |
|     |   |
|     | Rate the depth of the curriculum offered in terms of the competencies expected by the industry * [education sector] |
|     | Excellent   |
|     | ○ Very Good   |
|     | Good  |
|     | ○ Fair  |
|     | O Poor  |

| Does the curriculu                   |                    | comply with the d   | leclared cours   | e objectives and t | he expected * |  |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|---------------|--|
| <ul><li>Yes</li><li>No</li></ul>     |                    |                     |                  |                    |               |  |
| ) 140                                |                    |                     |                  |                    |               |  |
|                                      |                    |                     |                  |                    |               |  |
| Highlight the majo                   | or features of the | e curriculum that y | ou liked the m   | ost. *             |               |  |
| Very good                            | reserved to a      |                     |                  |                    |               |  |
| - *·                                 |                    |                     |                  |                    |               |  |
| Mention the aspe                     | cts that you wish  | n to modify in the  | curriculum. *    |                    |               |  |
| Very good                            |                    |                     |                  |                    |               |  |
|                                      |                    |                     |                  |                    |               |  |
| On a scale of 1 to                   | 5, rate the stand  | lard of the followi | ng activities co | onducted by the d  | epartment. *  |  |
|                                      | 1 - Excellent      | 2- Very Good        | 3- Good          | 4- Average         | 5- Poor       |  |
| Training                             | •                  | 0                   | 0                | 0                  | 0             |  |
| Exposure to<br>Innovative<br>methods | •                  | 0                   | 0                | 0                  | 0             |  |
| Internships                          | •                  | $\circ$             | $\bigcirc$       | 0                  | 0             |  |
| ICT skills                           | •                  | $\bigcirc$          | 0                | 0                  | 0             |  |
| Research<br>facilities               | •                  | $\bigcirc$          |                  | $\circ$            | $\circ$       |  |

| The curriculum successfully bridges the gap between academia and education industry. Do you agree? |
|--|
| Yes No   |
|  |

Give reasons for your choice for the above question \*

Good

4/24/24, 12:30 PM

Was there any component, that you wished to see in the curriculum when you were pursuing the \*course?

Curriculum Feedback - Alumni [2023-2024]

Very good

Any other suggestions that you would like to give for enriching the curriculum? \*

Excellent

This form was created inside of MIT University.

Google Forms

# Analysis of Feedback Forms

## 2. Analysis of Feedback with Graphical Representation

## A] Students

I. Details of number of students and responses obtained course wise

| Total number of students enrolled in B.Ed. [First and Second Year] | 136 |
|--|-----|
| Total number of feedback obtained                                  | 127 |

| Total number of students enrolled in M.A. Education [First and Second Year] | 15 |
|---|----|
| Total number of feedback obtained   | 14 |

| Total number of students enrolled in M.Sc. E-<br>learning [First and Second Year] | 7   |
|---|-----|
| Total number of feedback obtained   | 7 . |

| Total number of students enrolled in PGDGC | 10 | đi |
|--|----|----|
| Total number of feedback obtained          | 10 |    |


## Color code index for ratings

| Excellent | Very Good | Good | Fair        | Poor          |
|-----------|-----------|------|-------------|---------------|
|           |           |      | By S. Carte | V.            |
|           |           |      |             | <b>建筑是是新疆</b> |


# Students

### II. Responses obtained


Rate the structure of the curriculum designed for the entire program.




Majority of the students have rated the curriculum on the positive continuum. However, 4 students have rated the curriculum as fair, and 2 students found it to be poor but they have not specified the reason. It can be said that being in the first year they were not able to understand need and depth of the curriculum. The B.Ed. curriculum is divided into 3 group of courses spread over 2 years, namely, Perspectives in Education, Curriculum and Pedagogic Studies and Engagement with the Field. Thus, the structure of the curriculum is well defined and meets the current demands of the students. This might be a possible reason for overall positive feedback towards the course structure.



The students have rated the curriculum on the positive continuum. The M.A. in Education curriculum has a good blend of core courses, electives and skill-based courses. This makes the curriculum extremely compelling.




The students have rated the curriculum on the positive continuum. There was no response obtained for the lower limits i.e. fair or poor. The Master of Science in e-learning curriculum has a good blend of core courses, electives and skill-based courses. It is designed taking into consideration the contemporary needs of the industry. This makes the curriculum extremely competitive.




The students have rated the curriculum on the positive continuum. There was only 1 response obtained for the lower limits i.e. fair. The Post Graduate Programme in Guidance and Counselling (PGDGC) curriculum has a good blend of core courses, electives and skill-based courses. It is designed taking into consideration the contemporary needs of the students. This might be one of the reason that the students have rated the curriculum positively.

#### III. Responses obtained


Rate the depth of the curriculum offered in terms of the competencies expected by the industry [Educational sector]




The B.Ed. curriculum is enriched with components like recent trends in education, innovative teaching learning pedagogies, along with practical activities and research components. This caters to the expectations of the industry which are schools and educational institutes in case of B.Ed. Hence the students might have given a positive response for this question. However, there were 5 students who feel that the depth of the curriculum in terms of the competencies expected by the industry is average and only 2 felt it to be poor.



The M.A. in Education curriculum stresses implications of theory through field-based practicum. The curriculum is designed in a way that it caters to all aspects of education for developing professionals to work at different levels like teacher, administrator, policy maker, teacher educator, entrepreneur and content developer. This might be the reason of getting an overall positive response from the students.




The Master of Science in e-learning curriculum stresses on implications of theory through field based practicum. The curriculum is designed in a way that it caters to all aspects of education and industry for developing professionals to work at different levels along with being entrepreneurs. This might be the reason of getting an overall positive response from the students.




All the students have rated this question positively. The Post Graduate Diploma in Guidance and Counselling curriculum stresses on implications of theory through field based practicum. The curriculum is designed in a way that it caters to all aspects of education for developing professionals to help students to be at wellbeing. However, one student has rated it as fair, but no reason is mentioned.

### IV. Responses obtained


What is the standard of the curriculum taught? Does the curriculum take into consideration the local, national and global needs?




On analyzing the responses it was noted that majority of the students agreed that the B.Ed. curriculum takes into consideration the local, national and global needs. The students felt that the curriculum catered to the 21st century skills, having a student centred approach, imbibing future competency and having a vision of overall development. The students also mentioned that the curriculum was need based and had clearly defined objectives. However there were 4 students who felt that the curriculum was average and one student disagreed to the statement, but there were apparent reasons for their responses.



On analyzing the responses it was noted that all the students had an affirmative stance towards this question. All the students mentioned that the curriculum catered to the local, national and global needs.




On analyzing the responses it was noted that all the students had an affirmative stance towards this question. All the students mentioned that the curriculum catered to the local, national and global needs.




On analyzing the responses, it was noted that majority of the students had an affirmative stance towards this question. However, there were 3 students who mentioned that the curriculum did not cater to the local, national and global needs, but these students did not give any reason for their response.

## V. Responses obtained for the question: Rate the standard of the curriculum taught




Analysis of the overall responses given by the students show that majority of the students have given a positive response for this question. The reason for this might be that the B.Ed. curriculum


imparts the teacher-trainees with the knowledge and skills needed for them to become an effective 21st-century educator. The emphasis on technology in learning and teaching is a defining element of the B.Ed. curriculum. The curriculum attempts to enable the teachers to be capable of analyzing, reflecting on the teaching learning process and improving it for better learning. However, there were 15 students who mentioned that the standard of the B.Ed. curriculum is fair and 7 mentioned that it is poor. One of the reasons for this response might be that these students are in the first year of this course and thus were not fully aware about the curriculum in a holistic way.



A positive response was obtained from the M.A. Education Students also. The M.A.in Education curriculum focuses on developing the capacities of the aspirants who want to be part of education system in range of responsibilities right from curriculum development, implementing innovative teaching — learning practices, setting up robust evaluation and assessment patterns, handling students affairs, academic monitoring and administrative positions.




The Master of Science in E-learning students also gave a positive response for this question. The Master of Science in E-learning curriculum focuses on Instructional Design with a specific focus on e-learning industry, it gives a strong foundation of pedagogy required for the e-learning industry and it also provides a wide scope for practical and hands-on for developing skills. Hence the students might have given a positive response.




Responses received from the PGDGC students for this question was positive. All the students feel that the standard of curriculum is holistic. The curriculum is a blend of theory and practical, it prepares its candidates to work as frontline counsellors and mental health professionals in a wide variety of settings.


VI. Responses obtained for the question: Does the curriculum focus on employability/entrepreneurship/skill development? How?




Out of the total responses obtained, 121 students had an affirmative stance for this question. Hands on training, various workshops, development of soft skills, exposure to different teaching methodologies, practical approaches through field training and internships, ICT related skills and development of other skills like social skills, problem solving skills, value added courses etc. were the reasons stated by the students for their positive choice. There were 6 responses obtained that did not agree on the said statement. However, they did not give any specific reasons for their opinion.



Out of the total responses obtained, 13 students had an affirmative stance for this question. Skill development, innovative practical and activities, field training and internships as well as the course content were the reasons given by students for justifying their positions. However, only one student replied in negation towards this statement. The student felt that the curriculum does not focus on employability and entrepreneurship, however the student also mentioned that the curriculum ensured skill development.




All the students gave a positive response on this question. They mentioned that the curriculum included such components that developed necessary skills and assured employability and entrepreneurship.




Out of the total responses 9 students gave a positive response on this question. They mentioned that the curriculum included such components that developed necessary skills and also assured employability and entrepreneurship. Only 1 student gave a negative response however the student did not give any reason for the negative response.

VII. Rate the curriculum in terms of employability skills




Analysis of the responses shows that majority of the students have given a positive rating to this question. The B.Ed. curriculum is directed to imbibe the ability of interpreting, designing and implementing relevant curriculum and pedagogy, integrating digital technologies and


differentiating teaching to engage all students in their learning. Special education and research related components are again the highlights of this curriculum. These are areas of high employability in the present times. However, there we 9 students who found the curriculum to be fair in terms of employability skill and 8 students found it to be poor, there were no reasons mentioned by these students for their responses.



The M.A. in Education curriculum attempts to develop a qualified educational workforce. It caters to all aspects of education and develops professionals to work at different levels like teacher, administrator, policy maker, teacher educator and content developer. All students agreed positively on the notion that the curriculum caters to employability skills.




The Master of Science in E-learning students also gave a positive response to this question. The Master of Science in E-learning curriculum focuses on Instructional Design with a specific focus on e-learning industry, it gives a strong foundation of pedagogy required for the elearning industry and it also provides a wide scope for practical and hands-on for developing skills. Hence the students might have given a positive response.




All students agreed positively to the notion that the curriculum caters to employability skills.


VIII. Rate the curriculum in terms of skills required in the education sector




Out of the total responses 108 students have given a positive response to this question. Developing professional competencies by using relevant teaching strategies, a range of resources, including ICT to engage students in their learning; using effective communication strategies to support student understanding, participation, engagement, and achievement are some of the highlights of the curriculum. This can explain the overall positive response of the students towards this question. 13 students rated the curriculum as average and 6 as poor for this question. These students are from the first year and are not fully aware of the curriculum, hence have given a negative response without clear reason.



All the students have given a positive response to this question. The M.A. in Education curriculum focuses on a variety of capacities and skills like digital competencies, team work capacities, professional capacity building aspects, professional ethics, academic integrity to name a few.




The Master of Science in E-learning students also gave a positive response for this question. The Master of Science in E-learning curriculum focuses on Instructional Design with a specific focus on e-learning content development, it gives a strong foundation of pedagogy required for the e-learning in the education sector. Hence the students might have given a positive response. However one students has rated the curriculum to be fair but has not mentioned any specific reason for this response.




All the students gave a positive response on this question. They mentioned that the curriculum included such components that developed necessary skills required in the field of education. The Post Graduate Diploma in Guidance and Counselling curriculum includes aspects like latest approaches to counselling, mental health and adjustment that are very useful in understanding the students and helping them maintain well-being.







Analysis of this response showed that 73 students have marked this question on a positive scale. Information, Communication and Technology is given as a core course under the Perspectives in education umbrella. Critical understanding of ICT course develops skills needed for use of ICT in education by creating blogs, discussion forums, educational movies, and websites. ICT is also offered as a pedagogic specialization course in both years for the students. The curriculum successfully goes beyond the minimum requirements and attempts to make the students digitally competent. Average ranking has been given by 10 students. The possible reasons for this might be that few students entering this course might not be proficient with the basic technological elements and thus might consider the advanced exposure of ICT difficult to comprehend.



All the students have rated this question on a positive range. Design thinking, technological innovations, educational technology as a core component of the M.A. in Education curriculum highlights the importance given to ICT skills. There were 2 students who have rated the question on a average scale, however they have not given any specific reason for their response.




All the students have rated this question on a positive range. Instructional Design Models, Interactive multimedia, Visual Design, Story Boarding, Developing Self Instructional material, Design thinking etc. are the core component of the Master of Science in e-learning curriculum that apparently highlights the importance given to ICT skills.




All the students have rated this question on a positive range.

## X. Responses obtained for the question: Do you think that the curriculum has sufficient components based on research? Elaborate.




Majority of the students have given a positive response to this question. Action research is a compulsory component for the B.Ed. students. It help student-teachers understand what can happen in their classroom and identify changes to improve their teaching and learning. Through action research projects students practically find out a solution to the various problems they face in the field through a systematic and scientific approach. This might be one of the reasons for an overall positive response. However, there were 7 students who have given a negative response for


this question, the possible reason might be that these students are from the first year B.Ed. and are not aware of the overall syllabus and research component to be completed in the second year.



Out of the total responses 13 students have given a positive response to this question. Dissertation is a crucial component in the curriculum through which candidates learn the process of research and develop products which contribute to the field of education. In order to provide clarity on research concepts an appropriate theoretical base is also given to the students. There was only one student who has given a negative response, however the student has not given any reason for the same.




All the students have given a positive response to this question.




Majority of the students have given a positive response to this question. The courses include practical which is an important component, requires the students to research and work on the practical. However 4 students have given a negative response. The reason given was that they needed a direct research paper, for in-depth understanding of research.


XI. Does the curriculum generate sufficient interest to pursue higher study in the subject concerned? Elaborate




Majority of the students responded in the affirmative. As per the analysis of the responses one can conclude that the B.Ed. curriculum increases their curiosity to consider M.Ed. and Ph.D. as options for higher studies. Certain students also want to pursue some courses related to specializations in inclusive education, child psychology, special education, simulations in education to name a few. 5 students did not agree to the statement, however they did not give any reason for their response.



Out of the total responses 13 students agreed that the M.A. in Education curriculum generates interest for pursuing higher studies. Students expressed an interest to pursue Ph.D. in future. However, there was 1 student who did not agree to the statement, but did not mention any reason for the response.



All the students agreed that the Master of Science in e-learning curriculum generates interest for pursuing higher studies.



All the students agreed that the Post Graduate Diploma in Guidance and Counselling curriculum generates interest for pursuing higher studies like mental health and school counselling.

XII. What new 'components' should be included in the curriculum for bridging the gap between academia and industry? [Industry refers to fields of educational sector]

B.Ed.

#### Suggestions revolving around themes:

- \* More placements opportunities
- \* Paid internships
- \* More value added courses
- \* More industry exposure
- \* Curriculum should be more student centric
- \* Bridge course in ICT and digital skills and Communication skill
- \* Course on artificial intelligence
- \* Research skills should be given more emphasis

#### M.A. in Education

#### Suggestions revolving around themes:

- \* Provide more training regarding technology and digital skills
- \* More certificate courses

#### M.Sc. in e-learning

#### Suggestions revolving around themes:

\* More software like Articulate, Camatasia etc. should be included

#### Post Graduate Diploma in Guidance and Counselling

#### Suggestions revolving around themes:

- \* Provide more training various therapies
- \* Increased duration of the internship

#### XIII. Any other suggestions that you would like to give for enriching the curriculum?

#### B.Ed.

#### Additional Suggestions:

\* Certificate courses for specific employability skills

#### M.A. in Education

#### Additional Suggestions:

- \* Provide more training in research
- \* Provide longer duration internship

#### M.Sc. in e-learning

• No additional suggestions

### Post Graduate Diploma in Guidance and Counselling


#### Additional Suggestions:

- \* Internship duration should be more
- \* Practical sessions with counsellors should be arranged


## Alumni

#### B) Alumni


#### I. Rate the structure of the curriculum designed for the entire program.



The students have rated the curriculum on the positive continuum. However there were 2 students who have said that the curriculum was fair or average, but these students have not given any reason for their response. The B.Ed. curriculum is divided into 3 group of courses spread over 2 years, namely, Perspectives in Education, Curriculum and Pedagogic Studies and Engagement with the Field. Thus, the structure of the curriculum is well defined and meets the current demands of the students. This might be a possible reason of an overall positive feedback towards the course structure.




The students have rated the curriculum on the positive continuum. There was not a single response obtained for the lower limits i.e. fair or average. The M.A. in Education curriculum has a good blend of core courses, electives and skill based courses. This makes the curriculum extremely compelling.




The students have rated the curriculum on the positive continuum. There was not a single response obtained for the lower limits i.e. fair or average.


## II. Rate the depth of the curriculum offered in terms of the competencies expected by the industry [education sector]



Majority of the students have rated the curriculum on a positive continuum. Only 2 students have rated the curriculum to be average. The B.Ed. curriculum is enriched with components like recent trends in education, innovative teaching learning paradigm, pedagogical innovations along with practical and research components. This might explain why all the responses catering to this question were once again positive.




The M.A.in Education curriculum stresses on implications of theory through field based practicum. The curriculum is designed in a way that it caters to all aspects of education for developing professionals to work at different levels like teacher, administrator, policy maker, teacher educator and content developer. This might be the reason of getting an overall positive response from the students.




The students have rated the curriculum on the positive continuum. The students agree that the Post Graduate Diploma in Guidance and Counseling curriculum builds the competencies that are expected by the education sector. There was not a single response obtained for the lower limits i.e. fair or average.


III. Does the curriculum successfully comply with the declared course objectives and the expected learning outcomes?



The course objectives and learning outcomes is very well defined in the B.Ed. curriculum. All the responses except 1 obtained for this question were positive.



The course objectives and learning outcomes is very well defined in the M.A. in Education curriculum. All the responses obtained for this question were positive.



All the responses obtained for this question were positive.


#### IV. Highlight the major features of the curriculum that you liked the most.

| Major Highlights in B.Ed.   | Major Highlights in M. A.<br>Edu. | Major Highlights in PGDGC |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Seminars and Workshops      | Internship                        | Skills for therapies      |
| Innovative Teaching methods | Need based                        | Internship                |
| Use of technology           |                                   |                           |
| Need based                  |                                   |                           |
| Research component          |                                   |                           |
| Life Skills                 |                                   |                           |


#### V. Mention the aspects that you wish to modify in the curriculum.

| Major Highlights in B.Ed. | Major Highlights in M. A. Edu.  | Major Highlights in PGDGC       |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Certificate Courses       | Research can be introduced from | More therapies and case studies |
| Field visits              | SEM I                           |                                 |
| Practical                 |                                 |                                 |

VI. On a scale of 1 to 5, rate the standard of the following activities conducted by the department. [Training, Exposure to Innovative methods, Internships, ICT skills, Research facilities]




Recent trends in education, field based practicals are given due importance in the B.Ed. curriculum. This might be a reason why all the responses obtained for the training aspect were positive. However there was one who mentioned that the standard of trainings was average.




A diverse outlook is taken into consideration for training the M.A. in Education students. That could be one of the reason that once again, all the responses obtained for this question were positive.




All the students gave a positive response for this question. According to them the standard of trainings provided was very good.




Most of the students gave a high rating to the innovative methodologies in the B.Ed. curriculum. There were 2 students who mentioned that the innovative pedagogies were average, however they did not give any reason for their response. The curriculum is enriched with a vast spectrum of innovative methods.




All the responses obtained were positive. The curriculum gives importance to implications of theoretical concepts by utilizing various innovative ways.




All the responses obtained were positive. The curriculum gives importance to implications of theoretical concepts by utilizing various innovative ways.




B.Ed. curriculum offers an extensive internship for both first and second year students. It focuses to develop skills amongst the candidates for becoming an able professional working in an educational institution. Once again all responses were positive. There were 4 students gave an average rating.




M.A.in Education curriculum allows the students to choose any educational institute, NGO, government offices, curriculum development office, schools etc. for completing their internship. This provides them an exposure with practical knowledge about the work culture, roles responsibilities of the professional and kind of work done in the institute. Hence all responses were positive.




All the students have rated the internship on a positive continuum.




Information, Communication and Technology is given as a core course under the Perspectives in education umbrella. Critical understanding of ICT course develops skills needed for use of ICT in education by creating blogs, discussion forums, educational movies, and websites. ICT is also offered as a pedagogic specialization course in both years for the students. The curriculum successfully goes beyond the minimum requirements and attempts to make the students digitally competent. Hence 39 responses out of 41 were positive. However 2 students felt that the standard of ICT skills taught was fair.




Design thinking, technological innovations, educational technology as a core component of the M.A. in Education curriculum highlights the importance given to ICT skills. Once again all responses were positive.




All the responses obtained for the standard of ICT skills were positive.



The overall positive response towards this question can be justified on the basis of the following lines. Action research is a compulsory component for the B.Ed. students. It help student-teachers understand what can happen in their classroom and identify changes to improve their teaching and learning. Through action research projects students practically find out a solution to the various problems they face in the field through a systematic and scientific approach.




Dissertation is a crucial component in the curriculum through which candidates learn the process of research and develop products which contribute to the field of education. In order to provide clarity on research concepts an appropriate theoretical base is also given to the students. This justifies why all the students appreciated the research component of the curriculum.




All the students have given a positive response to this question.


VII. The curriculum successfully bridges the gap between academia and the education industry. Do you agree? Give reason for your choice.



Out of the 41 responses obtained 40 were positive, however 1 student gave a negative response. Holistic nature of the curriculum, need based, practical experiences, innovative teaching methods, ICT training were the reason mentioned by the students for this response.



All the responses obtained were positive. Internship and research projects and teaching methods were the reason mentioned by the students for this response.



All the responses obtained were positive. Holistic and need based were the reasons mentioned by the students.

# VIII. Was there any component that you wished to see in the curriculum when you were pursuing the course?


| B.Ed.                 | M. A. Edu.              | PGDGC        |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| Bridge courses in ICT | Artificial Intelligence | Case studies |

#### IX. Any other suggestions.


| Suggestions in B.Ed.       | Suggestions in M. A. Edu. | Suggestions in PGDGC               |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|
| French and Spanish methods | No suggestions            | Institutions for internships to be |
| can be added               |                           | provided by the college            |
| More Value added courses   | -                         |                                    |


## C) Employers


## I. Rate the students of our institution who joined your organization on the following parameters:





All responses obtained were positive.






































## II. What new 'components' should be included in the curriculum for bridging the gap between academia and the education industry?

#### **Major Components**

- Guest lectures from Industry/Schools
- Trainings in Adaptability to the environment
- Proper blend of technology and traditional teaching

#### III. Any other suggestions that you would like to give for enriching the curriculum?

• Inculcation of Moral values

# Comparison of Feedback of

different Stakeholders

& Pertinent Pointers

#### 3. Comparison of Feedback of different Stakeholders

- As per the feedback obtained from the stakeholders it can be understood that:
  - The curriculum is holistic in nature.
  - The overall curriculum meets the standards of the industry.
  - It takes into consideration the local, national as well as global needs.
  - Innovative teaching methodologies, practicals, activities, research, ICT based teaching, are the strengths of the curriculum.

#### 4. Pertinent pointers identified & drawn to enhance the learning effectiveness

- As per the feedback obtained from the stakeholders things that can be done to enhance the curriculum include:
  - Guest Lectures by Industry experts.
  - Value education subject should be added in the curriculum.
  - Component related to actual school environment should be added.
  - Inculcation of more field trips, hands on experiences as per the industry requirement as
    well as funded research in collaboration with industry should be added.
  - Bridge courses on communication, interpersonal skills and ICT should be added.

#### Basis of Planning the Revision:

As per the feedback taken from the stakeholders it was apparent that the curriculum is holistic and developed according to the needs of the stakeholders. However, there were few suggestion given by the stakeholders which were taken into consideration. It was decided that a standard procedure will be followed to take action on the suggestions got from the curriculum feedback. A meeting of the faculty members will be held to discuss the pertinent pointers and action to be taken. The action plan will be then chalked out and presented in the Board of Studies meeting for approval.

# Action Plan and Improvement or Enrichment in the Curriculum for the academic year 2023-24

Feedback on curriculum for the academic year 2023-24 was taken from the stakeholders (Students, Alumni, Employers and Faculty members) and analyzed. A meeting of all the faculty members was conducted to discuss the pertinent pointers that emerged after analysis and it was decided to keep the following points in the Board of Studies for discussion and action to be taken.

- Guest Lectures by Industry experts.
- Value education subject should be added in the curriculum.
- Component related to actual school environment should be added.
- Inculcation of more field trips, hands on experiences as per the industry requirement as well as funded research in collaboration with industry should be added.
- Bridge courses on communication, interpersonal skills and ICT should be added.

## School of Education & Research

### Faculty of Humanities & Social Sciences

## MIT Art, Design and Technology University | Pune



Date: 15/07/2024

Ref.No.MITADTU/SOER/2024-25/ 11

To.

The Stakeholders.

Dear Sir / Madam,

Thank you for sharing your feedback on the curriculum of Bachelor of Education (B.Ed.), Master of Arts in Education (M.A. in Education), Master of Science in E-Learning (M.Sc. E-Learning) and Post graduate Diploma in Guidance and Counselling (PGDGC) for the academic year 2023-2024. A significant number of stakeholders highlighted the positive impact of interactive learning activities on student engagement. However, there were suggestions for further enhancing participation and hands-on learning opportunities. The suggestions were thoroughly discussed in the BoS and action taken is hereby communicated to all the stakeholders.

|    | Suggestions                             |   | Action Taken                           |  |
|----|---|---|--|--|
| >  | Guest Lectures by Industry experts.     | > | Guest lectures by industry experts     |  |
|    | Components related to actual school     |   | shall be organized                     |  |
|    | environment should be added.            | > | Industry and Schools shall be          |  |
| 1  | Inclusion of more field trips, hands on |   | approached field visits and research   |  |
|    | experiences as per the industry         |   | projects.                              |  |
| *0 | requirement as well as funded           | > | Bridge course on communication,        |  |
|    | research in collaboration with industry |   | interpersonal skills and ICT shall be  |  |
|    | should be added.                        |   | provided.                              |  |
| ×  | Bridge courses on communication,        | * | Other courses like entrepreneurship    |  |
|    | interpersonal skills and ICT should be  |   | skills, administrative value education |  |
| a  | added.                                  |   | which the stakeholders suggested shall |  |
| >  | Value education subject should be       |   | be provided as Value added course.     |  |
|    | added in the curriculum.                | > | Implement a comprehensive training     |  |
| ×  | Preparation for competitive exams.      |   | program for the CTET to better         |  |
|    |   |   | prepare students for the examination.  |  |

We look forward to your continued cooperation.

#### Copy to:

- 1. Alumni
- 2. Students
- 3. Teachers

Dr. Priya Singh

4. Employers



Lori (a Building 6/3, Rajbaug Campus, Loni Kalbhor Pune – 412201 https://www.mitser.edu.in/ www.mituniversity.edu.in

## Conclusion

As per the Feedback obtained from the stakeholders it was understood that the overall curriculum meets the standards of the industry. It is developed taking into consideration the local, national and global needs. It includes the latest pedagogies and provide a variety of electives that make the students future ready. In addition, the curricula are coupled with several co-curricular, extracurricular and community engagement activities that makes it holistic in nature.

## Annexure I





## School of Education and Research Board of Studies Meeting

## Minutes of the meeting with proceeding

| Date & Day          | Venue  | Time            |
|---------------------|--------|-----------------|
| 14/06/2024 - Friday | Online | 11.00am-1.00 pm |

#### Following members were present for the meeting:

| Sr. No. | Name of the Members | Designation             |
|---------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 1       | Dr. Priya Singh     | Chairman                |
| 2       | Dr. Nalini Patil    | External member         |
| 3       | Dr.Lalita Vartak    | External member         |
| 4       | Dr.Sybil Thomas     | External member         |
| 5       | Dr.Geeta Pillai     | External member         |
| 6       | Dr. Nilam Zende     | Invitee Member          |
| 7       | Dr. Namrata Kamble  | Internal member         |
| 8       | Dr. Neota Mhavan    | Internal member         |
| 9       | Ms. Divya Nair      | Invitee Internal member |
| 10      | Ms. Pushpa Atole    | Internal member         |
| 11      | Ms. Jovita Nathan   | Internal member         |

Below members were absent for the meeting:

Dr. Ajita Deshmukh & Ms. Vindi Pujari

#### Agenda:

- 1. Syllabus for a course in teaching-learning for Ph.D students as per NEP.
- 2. Syllabus for Research methodology ( Humanities) for Ph.D coursework.
- 3. Revision of M.A.Education structure as per NEP PG framework.



- 4. Feedback on curriculum for academic year 2023-24.
- 5. Paper setter and evaluator list confirmation.
- 6. Revision of B.Ed structure as per semester pattern and discussion of the assessment pattern.
- 7. Any other point with permission of the chair

Agenda of the meeting was read by Dr. Priya Singh

| Agenda Item | Syllabus for a course in teaching-learning for Ph.D students as per NEP.  |
|-------------|---|
| Proceedings | Dr. Sybil Thomas proposed an insightful perspective during the discussion, highlighting that teaching should be regarded as a skill-based activity and suggested exploring diverse perspectives on learning at a higher academic level. Given that this Ph.D. coursework is aimed at scholars. Dr. Thomas emphasized the importance of critically examining the limitations and advantages of various theories and models related to teaching and learning. She also suggested a critical examination of different types of learning approaches.  Dr. Priya Singh agreed with Dr. Sybil Thomas's viewpoint, emphasizing that assessment should be based on the learning outcomes of the scholars. She suggested structuring the course to initially focus on foundational concepts, starting with an exploration of what constitutes teaching and learning. This could involve debates on the nature of knowledge, different schools of thought on knowledge acquisition, and methodologies for generating knowledge.  During the deliberation, Dr. Priya Singh sought the opinion of the Board of Studies (BOS) on whether practical teaching components should be mandatory for all scholars, regardless of whether they are actively teaching or not. Dr. Lalita Vartak expressed agreement that practical teaching experience should indeed be included for all scholars. |



| Resolution  Resolution  Resolution  The course content and assessment on teaching and learning for F scholars was approved with changes like making the flow logical approaching it with a practical and reflective approach. |
|---|
|---|

| Agenda Item | Syllabus for Research methodology ( Humanities) for Ph.D coursework.   |
|-------------|--|
|             | Course work.   |
| Proceedings | Dr. Priya Singh informed the Board of Studies (BOS) members that there would be separate Research Methodology courses for the School of Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, Education, Vedic Sciences, and English. She explained that initially, the Research Methodology course was common for all PhD scholars across the University, which resulted in many topics being irrelevant for scholars in the humanities. Given that the scholars come from diverse disciplines such as Management and Vedic Sciences, the courses have now been designed to cater specifically to each field.  Dr. Namrata Kamble then presented the syllabus for the Research Methodology course to the BOS members. She detailed the contents, which include topics like Ethics in Research and various software tools used in research. Dr.Namrata invited the BOS members to provide suggestions and feedback on the syllabus to ensure it meets the needs of all scholars.  Dr. Lalita Vartak remarked that most of the content has been covered comprehensively. Dr. Priya Singh added that the course evaluation pattern would consist of 40% internal assessments and 60% assignments. She emphasized that the assignments would be more practical, involving tasks where students would be given data to analyze using various software tools. She also mentioned the development of module, program and standardized test under product development. |

|  | Dr. Nalini Patil suggested including standardized tests in the curriculum |
|--|---|
|  | Dr. Priya Singh agreed and mentioned that they would incorporate these in |
|  | the product development section of the course. Dr. Patil also recommended |
|  | including rating scales, to which Dr. Singh responded that they would be  |
|  | added to the section on research tools.                                   |
| TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY O |   |
| Resolution   | The course content was approved with minor suggestions.                   |

# ¥

| Agenda Item | Revision of M.A Education structure as per NEP PG framework.  |
|-------------|---|
| Proceedings | Dr. Priya Singh discussed proposed changes to the MA Education structure, emphasizing the need to integrate postgraduate components and eliminate the option for early exit from the program. She presented both the old and new syllabi to the Board of Studies (BOS). The old syllabus consisted of 20 credits per semester, with 105 credits dedicated to practical work, while the remaining courses were core subjects following a 40:60 evaluation pattern. Semester 2 focused on holistic development to   |
|             | Dr. Namrata Kamble then shared the new syllabus. The overall structure remained the same, but a value-added course worth 2 credits was introduced in Semester 1. In Semester 2, a skill enhancement course was added, and the Research Methodology course was moved from Semester 3 to Semester 2. No major changes were made to Semester 3, except for the inclusion of another value-added course and a skill enhancement course. Semester 4 Internship program will be for one month. Dr. Namrata highlighted the various value-added and skill enhancement courses, explaining that these additions were made in accordance with the National Education Policy (NEP).  Dr. Priya Singh noted that the list of courses is flexible and can be adjusted |
|             | based on needs. She invited the committee to provide their ideas and  |

|            | suggestions. She mentioned that distance education components were        |
|------------|---|
|            | reviewed and incorporated from various universities.                      |
|            | Dr. Geeta suggested including courses on storytelling and e-content       |
|            | development. Dr. Lalita Vartak recommended retaining advanced statistics, |
|            | to which Dr. Namrata responded that it had already been included in the   |
|            | Advanced Research Methodology course. Dr. Priya Singh then proposed       |
|            | adding a course on Educational Leadership, emphasizing its importance.    |
| Resolution | M.A education program structure changes as per NEP 2020 was approved.     |
| Resolution | Suggestions of courses to be included in the list of value added courses. |

| Agenda Item | Feedback on curriculum for academic year 2023-24.  |
|-------------|--|
| Proceedings |  |
|             | Dr. Neeta Mhavan presented the committee with feedback collected from  |
|             | stakeholders, highlighting several areas for improvement and development.  |
|             | The feedback included the following key points, which require approval   |
|             | from the BOS as well as further suggestions:   |
| 2           | 1. Inclusion of Value-Added Courses: There is a need to introduce  |
|             | more value-added courses to enhance the educational experience.  |
|             | 2. Guest Lectures: Guest lectures by industry experts shall be   |
|             | organized.   |
|             | 3. Bridge Course on Language: Implement a bridge course to   |
|             | improve language skills and interpersonal relation skills for students   |
|             | who may require additional support.  |
|             | 4. Bridge Courses on ICT Skills: Develop bridge courses focused on   |
|             | ICT skills   |
|             | 5. Training on Soft Skills: Provide training sessions dedicated to   |
|             | developing essential soft skills for students.   |
|             | <ol> <li>Industry connect: Industry and Schools shall be approached for<br/>field visits and research projects.</li> </ol> |
|             | 7. <b>Detailed Teaching Aids Workshops:</b> Offer workshops that   |

| No.        |   |
|------------|---|
|            | provide in-depth training on the creation and use of teaching aids.         |
| ×.         | 8. Rigorous Training on CTET (Central Teacher Eligibility Test):            |
|            | Implement a comprehensive training program for the CTET to                  |
|            | better prepare students for the examination.                                |
|            | These points reflect the feedback received from stakeholders and need to    |
|            | be reviewed and approved by the BOS, along with any additional              |
|            |   |
|            | suggestions from the committee.   |
|            | Dr. Geeta emphasized the importance of focusing on ICT skills, noting that  |
|            | many teachers currently lack proficiency in this area. She also highlighted |
|            | the need to enhance soft skills among teachers. In response, Dr. Neeta      |
|            | Mhavan mentioned that the SCIL department of MIT University oversees        |
|            | these areas and provides comprehensive training to students before they     |
|            | graduate.   |
|            |   |
|            | Additionally, Dr. Geeta brought up the importance of training teachers on   |
|            | how to effectively connect with parents. Dr. Priya Singh agreed,            |
|            | acknowledging the significance of this aspect and its impact on the overall |
|            | educational experience.   |
|            |   |
| Resolution | Curriculum Feedback and the action plan was approved                        |
|            |   |

| Agenda Item | Paper setter and evaluator list confirmation.  |
|-------------|--|
| Proceedings | Dr. Priya Singh briefed the Board of Studies (BOS) members on the digital examination system, highlighting its fully automated nature. Under this system, each faculty is responsible for preparing 200 questions per subject, which collectively form a question bank. From this bank, three sets of question papers are automatically generated, with one set selected for each examination session. |
|             | Regarding the composition of the question bank, Dr. Singh outlined that  |

two members from within the institution are designated as internal setters, while one external member also contributes to ensure objectivity and diversity in question selection. Furthermore, Ms. Jovita Nathan presented the list of proposed paper setters and evaluators, stressing the importance of BOS approval for their appointment. Dr. Priya Singh then encouraged the external BOS members to recommend qualified faculty members who could serve as external setters, enhancing the quality and fairness of the examination process. This approach aims to uphold rigorous standards in question setting and evaluation, ensuring academic integrity and enhancing the overall examination experience for students. Following the detailed presentation by Dr. Priya Singh on the automated examination system, the members of the Board of Studies (BOS) expressed their appreciation for the innovative approach. They acknowledged the efficiency and transparency offered by the digital system in generating question papers automatically from the established question bank. Moreover, the BOS members reviewed and approved the list of proposed paper setters and evaluators, presented by Ms. Jovita Nathan. They emphasized the importance of ensuring that the appointed setters and evaluators uphold high academic standards and integrity. Resolution List of paper setters and evaluators was approved.

| Agenda Item | Revision in course content of PG Diploma in Guidance and counseling.   |
|-------------|--|
| Proceedings | The Board of Studies (BOS) committee approved the proposed changes to the Post Graduate Diploma in Guidance and Counseling (PGDGC) course.  Additionally, Dr. Lalitha Vartak provided a few suggestions to enhance the |

|            | practical components of the course.  These suggestions included integrating more hands-on activities and real-world applications to better prepare students for their future roles in guidance and counseling. By incorporating these practical elements, the course aims to provide students with comprehensive training and experience, ensuring they are well-equipped to handle various counseling scenarios effectively. |
|------------|---|
| Resolution | Changes in the syllabus were approved.  |

2,

| Agenda Item | Revision of B.Ed structure as per semester pattern and discussion of the assessment pattern.   |
|-------------|--|
| Proceedings | Ms. Divya Nair presented an overview of the structure and syllabus for the semester pattern of the B.Ed course. She highlighted key aspects of the new format, emphasizing its potential benefits for students. Dr. Priya Singl further underscored the significance of adopting the semester pattern emphasizing that it effectively alleviates the burden on students by spreading out assignment deadlines. Additionally, Dr. Singh pointed out that the semester system reduces the pressure associated with writing numerous theoretical papers across multiple subjects. This shift aims to create a more manageable and conducive learning environment for students pursuing their B.Ed studies.  Following the discussion, the Board of Studies (BOS) members reached a consensus to endorse the proposal. They directed the school administration to proceed with the implementation of the semester pattern for the B.Ed course, effective from the upcoming academic year. Dr. Priya Singh addressing the BOS members, assured them that the finalized structure or |

-

|            | thorough review and feedback. This decision aims to streamline the academic process, reducing the workload on students by distributing assignment deadlines more evenly throughout the semester. |
|------------|--|
| Resolution | Structure will be discussed again internally and as per feasibility it will be changed and implemented when required.  |

Dr. Priya Singh proposed the vote of thanks, and the meeting was adjourned.

Recorded by

Ms. Jovita Nathan

Assistant Professor

School of Education & Research, MIT ADT

Dr. Priya Singh

I/C Principal

School of Education & research, MITADTU

MIT Art, Design & Technology University.